Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,888	DONTU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM	2477
All participants (applicant, applicant's representati	ve, PTO personnel):	
1) SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM.	(3)SENAIDA SAN MIGUEL.	
2) <u>BRENNA A. BROCK</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 04 March 2010.		

Date of Interview, O4 March 2010

Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims file was reached. q) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Ejusued briefly about the claims: I and 139 and an agreement was reached with the Applicant's representatives to cancel independent claim 1.6 an aboutes independent claims 43.6.5 or and all of their corresponding desendent claims and also for minor corrections in claims 13, 34.50.6.4 and a correction is arrend claim 64 to include a non transitory computer readeble medium as set forth in Examiner's amendment to make this case allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 73.04), If an ply to the last Office action has already been flied, APPULOANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW. DAYS INTERVIEW

/Srinivasa R Reddivalam/ Examiner, Art Unit 2477 /Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL 413 (Rev. 04-03)

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPDP), Section 113.04, Substance of Interview Most be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, wideo conference, or belighbore interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the
application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete witten statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135 (35.U.S.C. 132)

### 37 CFR 61.2 Business to be transacted in writing

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted mixing. The personal stated cancer of applicants or their altomays or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office surnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based enclosurely on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged only promet, sploatation, or understanding in reliation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

# \_

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attention or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examinar indicates the or new vide ook. It is the examinar indicates the or new vide ook. It is the examinar is responsibility to exert this contribution of inside and to concert maintain interview with the offercity on the question of patentialistic.

Examinars must complete an interview Summary Form for each interview half where a marter of substance has been discussed during the

interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filtings in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural martime, directed solely to restriction recognised by the propriate boxes and filtings in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural martine, directed solely for restriction recognised by the procedural procedural

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the 'Content's section of the file resport. In a personal interview, a deplaced of the Form or ignore to the applicant or storrey or agent for conclusion of the Interview. In the case of a betephone or video-conference serview, the copy is made to the applicant is correspondent address controlled to the case of a betephone or video-conference serview. The copy is made to the applicant is correspondent address controlled to the case of the controlled to the controlled to

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Senes Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
   Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
   Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
- not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.

  The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)
- It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case, it should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or a supplementable by the applicant of the examiner to include, all of the applicable times required below concentionable or the examiner to include, all of the applicable times required below concentions to the examiner to include, all of the applicable times required below concentions to the examiner to include, all of the applicable times required below concentions.
- substance of the interview.

  A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
  - 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
  - 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
  - an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
  - an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner
  - a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
     (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not
  - required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphissize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
  - a general indication of any other perfinent malters discussed, andfi appropriate, the general results or outcome of the intensiew unless already described in the intensiew Summary Form completed by the examiner
- the examiner.

  Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paner recording the substance of the interview alone with the date and the examiner's initials.